



Risa Wolf-Smith

Senior Counsel
303.295.8011
Denver
rwolf@hollandhart.com

Rejecting Power-Purchase Agreements in Energy Cases: Do Bankruptcy Courts Have Exclusive Jurisdiction?

Insight — August 2019

Reprinted with permission from the ABI Journal, Vol. XXXVIII, No. 8, August 2019.

In a much-awaited and pivotal decision in the PG&E chapter 11 proceeding, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California held that it not only has exclusive jurisdiction over the rejection of wholesale power-purchase agreements, but that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has no such jurisdiction and any determinations by FERC to the contrary would be void. While the decision might not be surprising to most bankruptcy practitioners, the proposition that FERC has no jurisdiction over the breach or modification of a power-purchase agreement is not only shocking to energy practitioners, but contrary to well-established authority in the energy arena.

To read the full article, click here: [Rejecting Power-Purchase Agreements in Energy Cases: Do Bankruptcy Courts Have Exclusive Jurisdiction?](#)

Subscribe to get our Insights delivered to your inbox.

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.