## Holland & Hart



Steven Gutierrez

Partner 303.295.8531 Denver squtierrez@hollandhart.com

## Utah Court Decides Landman Is Employee, Not Independent Contractor

## Utah Court Decides Landman Is Employee, Not Independent Contractor

## Insight — November 2008

The Utah Court of Appeals recently held that an individual who worked for an oil and gas exploration company for four months under an independent contractor agreement and who "generally performed work that fit within the duties of landmen," was an employee and not an independent contractor. The landman's wages were therefore subject to unemployment insurance taxes.

The Court based its decision on the company's failure to establish that the landman was "engaged in an independently established trade," never reaching the second requirement that she be "free from control or direction over her services." The landman performed due diligence on various leases. She reviewed lease title documents and records, completed data entry, compiled reports and spreadsheets, filed documents, made copies and answered phones and emails. She worked on her own laptop on which she "assimilated, consolidated, and organized the data and reports submitted by the field landmen."

The factors leading to the Court's conclusion were:

- The landman performed all of her responsibilities in the company's office during normal business hours, and worked forty to sixty hours per week.
- Both parties provided a similar amount of equipment, i.e., the landman provided a laptop and software while the company provided a copier, fax, and printer.
- The landman did not have any other clients besides the company and her contract contained a "non-compete clause, which she believed prevented her from performing similar services to any other client for a period of 12 months."
- The landman's two previous employers issued her W-2 employee tax forms instead of 1099 independent contractor tax forms.
- The landman had "very little overhead"; she worked in a company office; she was reimbursed for travel; all the money she received was pure profit with no accompanying risk of loss.

The Court declined to make any generalizations about certain professions and emphasized that Utah law requires a specific inquiry into the facts present in each case when making an independent contractor



determination.

The bottom line is that companies cannot assume that landmen will be treated as independent contractors; every situation should be evaluated based upon its own facts. The employment lawyers at Holland & Hart are happy to answer any questions or assist you with a review of your landmen positions and/or independent contractor designations.

Contacts:

Steven Gutierrez Labor & Employment Chair Denver Office 303-295-8531 sgutierrez@hollandhart.com Lois Baar Salt Lake City Office 801-799-5929 labaar@hollandhart.co m

This publication is designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal or financial advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the author(s). This publication is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. Substantive changes in the law subsequent to the date of this publication might affect the analysis or commentary. Similarly, the analysis may differ depending on the jurisdiction or circumstances. If you have specific questions as to the application of the law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.