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This presentation is similar to any other legal education materials designed to provide general information on pertinent legal topics. The statements made as part of the presentation are provided for educational purposes only. They do not constitute legal advice nor do they necessarily reflect the views of Holland & Hart LLP or any of its attorneys other than the speaker. This presentation is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship between you and Holland & Hart LLP. If you have specific questions as to the application of law to your activities, you should seek the advice of your legal counsel.
Overview

• The statutes
• Applying the statutes to common situations
• Reporting and repaying overpayments
• Compliance plans
• Action items
Written Materials

• .Ppt slides
• OIG Compliance Program Guidance
• OIG, Avoiding Fraud and Abuse
• Report and Repay Rule
• OIG Self-Disclosure Protocol
• CMS Self-Referral Disclosure Protocol
• Sample Compliance Program
• Articles on various issues...
Govt Enforcement

• Govt actively enforcing fraud and abuse statutes.
• Per 2015 study, for every $1 spent in enforcement, govt recovers $7.70.
• Enforcement likely to continue under new administration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recent Cases</th>
<th>Penalty/Settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenet and subsidiaries allegedly paid kickbacks to prenatal care clinics</td>
<td>$513,000,000; guilty pleas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for referral of undocumented illegal aliens to deliver at hospitals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibra allegedly bills for medically unnecessary services</td>
<td>$32,700,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American Health Care allegedly bills for unnecessary rehab therapy</td>
<td>$28,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth Israel Medical Center allegedly delays repaying $800,000 in Medicare</td>
<td>$2,950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overpayments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventist Health allegedly pays physicians compensation above FMV, based</td>
<td>$115,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on referrals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Broward Hospital allegedly pays physicians above FMV, based on</td>
<td>$69,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>referrals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Medical Center allegedly pays excessive compensation to cardiologists based on formula that considers referrals</td>
<td>$21,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax Hospital allegedly paid physicians above FMV and bonus based on</td>
<td>$85,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drugs ordered by physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuomey Healthcare allegedly entered long term, part-time employment</td>
<td>$74,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contracts that exceeded FMV and required referrals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual Accountability

- In September 2015, DOJ Deputy AG Sally Yates released a Memorandum focusing on individual accountability for corporate wrongdoing.
The Yates Memo – 6 Key Factors

1. Companies will have to turn over information on involved individuals in order to get cooperation credit.

2. All investigations—both criminal and civil—will start with a focus on individual actors within the company.

3. Criminal and civil attorneys will work in lockstep on corporate cases, sharing information freely.

4. Line prosecutors need written approval from a senior DOJ attorney before offering protection to individuals.

5. Individual actions have to be resolved (or have a resolution plan) before corporate actions can be resolved.

6. Civil actions will be pursued against culpable individuals, even if they can’t pay a substantial fine.

For more like this, check out thebroadcat.com
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Tuesday, September 27, 2016

Former Chief Executive of South Carolina Hospital Pays $1 Million and Agrees to Exclusion to Settle Claims Related to Illegal Payments to Referring Physicians

The Department of Justice announced today that it has reached a $1 million settlement with Ralph J. Cox III, the former chief executive officer of Sumter, South Carolina-based Tuomey Healthcare System, for his involvement in the hospital’s illegal Medicare and Medicaid billings for services referred by physicians with whom the hospital had improper financial relationships.

Under the terms of the settlement agreement, Cox will also be excluded for four years from participating in federal health care programs, including providing management or administrative services paid for by federal health care programs. The illegal physician arrangements resulted in a $237.4 million judgment against Tuomey following a jury verdict. On Oct. 16, 2013, the United States resolved its judgment against Tuomey for payments totaling $72.4 million, and the hospital was sold to Palmetto Health, a multi-hospital healthcare system based in Columbia, South Carolina.

“Sweetheart deals between hospitals and referring physicians distort medical decision making and drive up the cost of healthcare for patients and insurers alike,” said Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Benjamin C. Mizer, head of the Justice Department’s Civil Division. “Patients have a right to be confident that a physician who orders a procedure or test does so because that service is in the patient’s best interest, and not because the physician stands to gain financially from the referral. Today’s settlement demonstrates that the Justice Department and its law enforcement partners will hold individual decision makers accountable for their involvement in causing the companies and facilities they run to engage in unlawful activities.”
To make matters worse...

You must narc on yourself!

Affordable Care Act report and repay requirement.
Fraud and Abuse Laws

- False Claims Act
- Anti-Kickback Statute ("AKS")
- Ethics in Physician Referrals Act ("Stark")
- Civil Monetary Penalties Law ("CMPL")
- Idaho Laws

"I want my money back!"
False Claims Act

• Cannot knowingly submit a false claim for payment to the federal government.
• Must report and repay an overpayment within 60 days.
• Penalties
  – Repayment plus interest
  – Civil monetary penalties of $5,500 to $11,000 per claim
  – 3x damages
  – Exclusion from Medicare/Medicaid

(18 USC 1347)
False Claims Act

• *Qui Tam* Suits: private entities (*e.g.*, employees, patients, providers, competitors, *etc.*) may sue the hospital under False Claims Act on behalf of the government.
  - Government may or may not intervene.
  - *Qui tam* relator.
    - Receives a percentage of any recovery.
    - Recovers their costs and attorneys fees.
False Claims Act

  - Part-time employment contracts violated Stark.
    - $39,313,065 x 3 damages = $117,939,195
    - 21,730 false claims x $5,500 per claim = 119,515,000

$237,454,195 judgment

- Ultimately settled for $72.4 million.
- Relator will receive $18 million.
False Claims Act: Examples

• Claims for services that were not provided or were different than claimed.

• Failure to comply with quality of care.
  – Express or implied certification of quality.
  – Provision of “worthless” care.

• Failure to comply with conditions of payment or relevant fraud and abuse laws.
  – Express or implied certification of compliance when submit claims (e.g., cost reports or claim forms).
Idaho False Claims Act

• Cannot knowingly:
  – Submit claim that is incorrect.
  – Make false statement in any document submitted to state.
  – Submit a claim for medically unnecessary service.

• Penalties
  – Exclusion from state health programs, e.g., Medicaid.
  – Civil penalty of up to $1000 per violation.
  – Referral to Medicaid fraud unit.

(IC 56-209h(6))
Anti-Kickback Statute
(42 USC 1320a-7b; 42 CFR 1001.952)
Anti-Kickback Statute

• Cannot knowingly and willfully offer, pay, solicit or receive remuneration to induce referrals for items or services covered by government program unless transaction fits within a regulatory safe harbor.

(42 USC 1320a-7b(b))

• “One purpose test”
  – Anti-Kickback Statute applies if one purpose of the remuneration is to induce referrals. *(U.S. v. Greber, 760 F.2d 68 (3d Cir. 1985)).*
  – Difficult to disprove.

• Ignorance of the law is no excuse.
Anti-Kickback Statute

• Penalties
  – 5 years in prison
  – $25,000 criminal fine
  – $50,000 penalty
  – 3x damages
  – Exclusion from Medicare/Medicaid

(42 USC 1320a-7b(b); 42 CFR 1003.102)

• Anti-Kickback violation = False Claims Act violation
  – Lower standard of proof
  – Subject to False Claims Act penalties
  – Subject to qui tam suit.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(a)(7))

• OIG Self-Disclosure Protocol: minimum $50,000 settlement.
Anti-Kickback Statute

Anytime you want to:
• Give or receive *anything* to induce or reward referrals, or
• Do *any* deal with a referral source.
Anti-Kickback Statute

• Applies to any form of remuneration to induce or reward referrals for federal program business.
  – Money.
  – Free or discounted items or services (e.g., perks, gifts, space, equipment, meals, insurance, trips, CME, etc.).
  – Overpayments or underpayments (e.g., not fair market value).
  – Payments for items or services that are not provided.
  – Payments for items or services that are not necessary.
  – Professional courtesies.
  – Waivers of copays or deductibles.
  – Low interest loans or subsidies.
  – Business opportunities that are not commercially reasonable.
  – Anything else of value...
Anti-Kickback Statute: Safe Harbors

• No liability if satisfy all the requirements of a safe harbor.

• Not required to fit within safe harbor because ultimate question is whether “one purpose” of remuneration is to induce or reward referrals.

• The closer you come to satisfying regulatory requirements, the safer you will be.
Anti-Kickback Statute: Safe Harbors

- Bona fide employment
- Personal services contracts
- Leases for space or equipment
- Investments in group practice
- Investments in ASCs
- Sale of practice
- Recruitment
- Certain investment interests
- Waiver of beneficiary coinsurance and deductible amounts.

(42 CFR 1001.952)

- Transportation programs
- OB malpractice insurance subsidies
- Electronic health record items or services
- Referral services
- Referral arrangements for specialty services
- Warranties
- Discounts
- Others
Anti-Kickback Statute

- No *de minimus* safe harbor.
  - But not too much risk if remuneration is nominal.

- No “fair market value” safe harbor.
  - “Fair market value” payment does not legitimize a payment if there is an illegal purpose. (70 FR 4864)
  - But fairly safe if remuneration represents fair market value for legitimate, needed services or items.

- Consider risk of federal program abuse.
  - Due to nature of transaction.
  - Incorporate safeguards to protect against abuse.
Advisory Opinions

- OIG may issue advisory opinions.
  - Not binding on anyone other than participants to the opinion.
  - But you are probably fairly safe if you act consistently with favorable advisory opinion.
Advisory Opinions

In accordance with section 1128D(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a-7d(b)) and 42 CFR part 1008, OIG issues advisory opinions about the application of OIG's fraud and abuse authorities to the requesting party's existing or proposed business arrangement. As required by the statute, these advisory opinions are being made available to the public through this OIG Web site.

One purpose of the advisory opinion process is to provide meaningful advice on the application of the anti-kickback statute and other OIG sanction statutes in specific factual situations. Please note, however, that advisory opinions are binding and may legally be relied upon only by the requestor. Since each opinion will apply legal standards to a set of facts involving certain known persons who provide specific statements about key factual issues, no third parties are bound nor may they legally rely on these advisory opinions.

We have redacted specific information regarding the requestor and certain privileged, confidential, or financial information associated with the individual or entity, unless otherwise specified by the requestor.

Quick Links/Resources

- Preliminary Checklist for Advisory Opinion Requests
- Recommended Preliminary Questions and Supplementary Information
- The full and current regulatory text of regulations governing requests for advisory opinions is available on the Code of Federal Regulations Web site, 42 CFR part 1008.
- The OIG Final Rule (73 Fed. Reg. 40992) revising the procedural aspects for submitting payments for advisory opinion costs.
Idaho Kickback Statutes
Idaho Anti-Kickback Statute

- Service provider (including providers of healthcare services) cannot:
  - Pay another person, or other person cannot accept payment, for a referral.
  - Provide services knowing the claimant was referred in exchange for payment.
  - Engage in regular practice of waiving, rebating, giving or paying claimant’s deductible for health insurance.

- Penalties
  - $5000 fine by Department of Insurance

(IC 41-348)
Idaho Medical Practices Act

• **Prohibits**
  
  – Division of fees or gifts or agreement to split or divide fees or gifts received for professional services with any person, institution or corporation in exchange for referral.
  
  – Giving or receiving or aiding or abetting the giving or receiving of rebates, either directly or indirectly.

• **Penalties**
  
  – **Adverse licensure action**

  (IC 54-1814(8)-(9))
Ethics in Patient Referrals Act ("Stark")
(42 USC 1395nn; 42 CFR 411.351 et seq.)
Stark

• If a physician (or their family member) has a financial relationship with an entity:
  – The physician may not refer patients to that entity for designated health services, and
  – The entity may not bill Medicare or Medicaid for such designated health services ("DHS") unless arrangement structured to fit within a regulatory exception.

(42 CFR 411.353)
Stark

• Penalties
  – No payment for services provided per improper referral.
  – Repayment of payments improperly received within 60 days.
  – Civil penalties.
    • $15,000 per claim submitted
    • $100,000 per scheme

(42 CFR 411.353, 1001.102(a)(5), and 1001.103(b))

• May also constitute Anti-Kickback Statute violation
• May trigger False Claims Act.
Stark

- Cannot bill or receive payment for services for prohibited referrals during the “period of disallowance.”
  - Begins when financial relationship fails to satisfy one of the safe harbors.
  - Ends when:
    - Relationship brought into compliance, and
    - Amounts overpaid or underpaid are repaid.
- Prospective compliance alone does not end the period of noncompliance.

(42 CFR 411.353(c)(1))
Any financial relationship or item of value between a physician (or their family) and an entity providing DHS.
United States Resolves $237 Million False Claims Act Judgment against South Carolina Hospital that Made Illegal Payments to Referring Physicians

The Department of Justice announced today that it has resolved a $237 million judgment against Tuomey Healthcare System for illegally billing the Medicare program for services referred by physicians with whom the hospital had improper financial relationships. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the United States will receive $72.4 million and Tuomey, based in Sumter, South Carolina, will be sold to Palmetto Health, a multi-hospital healthcare system based in Columbia, South Carolina.

"Secret sweetheart deals between hospitals and physicians, like the ones in this case, undermine patient confidence and drive up healthcare costs, both for the Medicare program and its beneficiaries," said Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Renato A.  U.S.  Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. "This case demonstrates the United States' commitment to protecting beneficiaries to hospitals for procedures, tests and other health services that are meant to benefit the patient's best interest, and not because the physician stands to benefit. The Department of Justice is determined to prevent the kind of abuses uncovered in this case and protect the integrity of the Medicare program."

The judgment stemmed from a statute that prohibits hospitals from billing Medicare for certain Medicare beneficiaries (so-called "BRA" beneficiaries) that have been referred by physicians with whom the hospital has a financial relationship. The statute includes exceptions for many common hospital-physician arrangements. The hospital makes a referring physician be at fair market value for the physician volume or value of the physician's referrals to the hospital.

The government argued that Tuomey had violated the FCA by not paying Tuomey's referring physicians fairly. The government claimed that Tuomey used its financial relationship with the referring physicians to control who could receive care and what procedures could be performed, and that Tuomey then paid the referring physicians compensation that far exceeded fair market value and included part of the money Tuomey received from Medicare for the referred procedures. The government argued that Tuomey ignored and suppressed warnings from one of its attorneys that the physician contracts were "risky" and raised "red flags."
Stark

- Applies to referrals by physician to entities with which the physician (or their family member) has financial relationship.

- **Physician =**
  - MDs
  - DOs
  - Oral surgeons
  - Dentists
  - Podiatrists
  - Optometrists
  - Chiropractors

- **Family member =**
  - Spouse
  - Parent, child
  - Sibling
  - Stepparent, stepchild, stepsibling
  - Grandparent, grandchild
  - In-law

(42 CFR 411.351)
Stark

- Applies to referrals by physician to entities with which physician (or their family member) has financial relationship.
  - Direct relationship.
  - Indirect relationship (e.g., through ownership in another entity).

- Financial relationship =
  - Ownership or investment: stocks, bonds, partnership, membership shares, secured loans, securities, etc.
  - Compensation: employment, contract, lease, payments, gifts, free or discounted items, and virtually any other exchange of remuneration.

(42 CFR 411.351 and .354)
Stark

- Applies to referrals (orders, requests, plan of care, certification) by physician for DHS performed by others.
  - Other providers or facilities.
  - Others in physician’s own group.
  - Other employees or contractors.
- Does not apply to services physician personally performs.
  - Physician may perform his own DHS.
  - Beware ancillary, technical, facility fees.
- Does not apply to many services performed by radiologists or pathologists because they usually do not make “referrals”.

(42 CFR 411.351)
Stark

• Applies to referrals for designated health services ("DHS") payable in whole or part by Medicare.
  – Inpatient and outpatient hospital services
  – Outpatient prescription drugs
  – Clinical laboratory services
  – Physical, occupational, or speech therapy
  – Home health services
  – Radiology and certain imaging services
  – Radiation therapy and supplies
  – Durable medical equipment and supplies
  – Parenteral and enteral nutrients, equipment, and supplies
  – Prosthetics and orthotics

• CMS website lists some of the affected CPT codes.
  (42 CFR 411.351)
Stark: Safe Harbors

- Stark contains numerous safe harbors.
  - Applicable to both ownership/investment and compensation arrangements.
  - Applicable to only ownership/investment arrangements.
  - Applicable to only compensation arrangements.
- No liability if comply with all the requirements of an applicable safe harbor.
- Need only comply with one safe harbor for each financial relationship.

(42 CFR 411.355-.357)
Stark: Exceptions for Both Ownership and Compensation

- Physician services rendered by another physician in same group practice* or under such physician’s supervision.
- In-office ancillary services provided through group practice*.
- Prepaid health plans.
- Certain services furnished in academic medical center.
- Implants in ASC.
- Preventive screening tests, immunizations, and vaccines.
- EPO and other dialysis-related drugs.
- Eyeglasses and contact lenses following cataract surgery.
- Intra-family rural referrals.

(42 CFR 411.355)

* Must qualify as “group practice” under 42 CFR 411.352.
Stark: Exceptions for Only Ownership or Investments

Ownership or investment interests in:

• Rural providers.

• The whole hospital, not a part of the hospital.
  – Subject to limits in 42 CFR 411.362.

• Publicly traded securities.

• Large, regulated mutual funds.

(42 CFR 411.356)
Stark: Exceptions for Only Compensation Arrangements

- Bona fide employment relationships.
- Personal services contracts.
- Space or equipment rental.
- Timeshare arrangement
- Physician or midlevel recruitment.
- Physician retention.
- Remuneration unrelated to DHS.
- Fair market value.
  (42 CFR 411.357)

- Non-monetary compensation up to $300.
- Medical staff incidental benefits.
- Compliance training.
- Community-wide health information system.
- Professional courtesy.
- Certain payments by a physician for items or services at FMV.
- Others.
Stark: Analysis

1. Is there a financial relationship between the DHS provider and the physician or their family member?
   - Direct or indirect relationship?
   - Ownership or investment interest?
   - Compensation arrangement?
2. Does the physician make or has she made referrals to the entity for DHS payable by Medicare?
3. Does a safe harbor apply?
4. Has the entity billed for items/services pursuant to improper referral, and if so, did the entity have knowledge of physician’s identity?
Civil Monetary Penalties Law
(42 USC 1320a-7a)
Civil Monetary Penalties Law

• New regulations issued 12/7/16
• Restructured CMPL regulations to make more user-friendly.
  — Standards for determining amount of penalties.
  — Subparts grouped according to violations with associated penalties.
• Modified aspects of regs.
  — Bases for penalties.
  — Standards for determining amount of penalties.
  — Definition of “remuneration”.

(81 FR 88334, 81 FR 88368)
Civil Monetary Penalties Law

Prohibits certain specified conduct, e.g.:

• Submitting false or fraudulent claims, misrepresenting facts relevant to services, or engaging in other fraudulent practices.
• Violating Anti-Kickback Statute or Stark law.
• Violating EMTALA.
• Failing to report and repay an overpayment.
• Failing to grant timely access.
• Misusing “HHS”, “CMS”, “Medicare”, “Medicaid”, etc.
• Failing to report adverse action against providers.
• Offering inducements to program beneficiaries.
• Offering inducements to physicians to limit services.
• Submitting claims for services ordered by, or contracting with, an excluded entity.

(42 USC 1320a-7a; 42 CFR 1003.200-1100)
Civil Monetary Penalties Law

- Penalties vary based on conduct, but generally range from:
  - $2,000 to $100,000 fines
  - 3x amount claimed
  - Denial of payment
  - Repayment of amounts improperly paid
  - Exclusion from government programs

- CMPL violations may also violate:
  - False Claims Act
  - Anti-Kickback Statute
  - Stark
Inducements to Govt Program Patients

- Cannot offer or transfer remuneration to Medicare or state program beneficiaries if you know or should know that the remuneration is likely to influence the beneficiaries to order or receive items or services payable by federal or state programs from a particular provider.

- **Penalty:**
  - $10,000 for each item or service.
  - 3x amount claimed.
  - Repayment of amounts paid.
  - Exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid.

- Also a likely violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute

(42 USC 1320a-7a(a)(5); 42 CFR 1003.1000).
Inducements to Govt Program Patients

• “Remuneration” = anything of value, including but not limited to:
  – Items or services for free or less than fair market value unless satisfy certain conditions.
  – Waiver of co-pays and deductibles unless satisfy certain conditions.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(i); 42 CFR 1003.110; OIG Bulletin, Gifts to Beneficiaries)
Inducements to Govt Program Patients

• “Remuneration” does not include:
  – Waivers or co-pays based on financial need or after failed collection efforts if certain conditions met.
  – Items or services if financial need and certain conditions met.
  – Incentives to promote delivery of preventative care if certain conditions met.
  – Payments meeting Anti-Kickback Statute safe harbor.
  – Retailer coupons, rebates or rewards offered to public.
  – Any other remuneration that promotes access to care and poses a low risk of harm to patients and federal health care programs.
  – Certain other situations.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(i); 42 CFR 1003.110)
Payment to Limit Services

- Hospital or CAH cannot knowingly make a payment, directly or indirectly, to a physician as an inducement to reduce or limit medically necessary services provided to Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries who are under the direct care of the physician.
  - May include many “gainsharing” programs.
  - MACRA amendments ease the prohibition.

- Penalties:
  - $2000 for each individual with respect to whom payment made.
  - Any other penalty allowed by law.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(b)(1), as amended by MACRA; 81 FR 88370)
Excluded Entities

• Cannot submit claim for item or service ordered or furnished by an excluded person.

• Cannot hire or contract with an excluded entity or arrange for excluded entity to provide items or services payable by federal programs.

• Penalties
  – $10,000 per item or service.
  – 3x amount claimed.
  – Repayment of amounts paid.
  – Exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid

(42 USC 1320a-7a(a)(8); 42 CFR 1003.200; OIG Bulletin, Effect of Exclusion)
Excluded Entities

• Cannot submit claim for item or service ordered or furnished by an excluded person.

• Cannot hire or contract with an excluded entity or arrange for excluded entity to provide items or services payable by federal programs.

• Penalties
  — $10,000 per item or service.
  — 3x amount claimed.
  — Repayment of amounts paid.
  — Exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid

(42 USC 1320a-7a(a)(8); 42 CFR 1003.200(a); OIG Bulletin, Effect of Exclusion)
Excluded Entities

• Medicare, Medicaid, or other federal program will not pay claim if person “knew or should have known” of exclusion.
  — Exception for certain emergency services.
  (42 CFR 1001.1901(b) and .1003.200(a))

• Knowledge =
  — Knew or should have known of exclusion.
  — Notified by HHS of exclusion, e.g., in response to claim.
  — Listed on the List of Excluded Individuals or Entities (“LEIE”).
LEIE Downloadable Databases

Download the LEIE Database

**ANNOUNCEMENT:** As of the September 2013 update, only the LEIE files containing the NPI, Waiver, and Waiver States fields will be available.

Instructions and Information About the LEIE Files.

Below files updated: 05-08-2015

**LEIE Database**

- 04-2015 Updated LEIE Database: EXE | ZIP

**Current Monthly Supplements**

- 04-2015 Exclusions: EXE | ZIP
- 04-2015 Reinstatements: EXE | ZIP
- Monthly Supplement Archive

**Profile Updates**

- 04-2015 Profile Corrections

Related Information

- Waiver Info
- Search the Online LEIE Database

How To Use These Files

View a video tutorial on using the downloadable files.

Using the Exclusions Downloadable Databases

I'm looking for

Let's start by choosing a topic

Select One
List of Excluded Individuals and Entities ("LEIE")

- OIG maintains LEIE and updates monthly: https://oig.hhs.gov/exclusions/exclusions_list.asp
  - Check LEIE before hiring or contracting with entities.
    - Employees, contractors, vendors, medical staff, etc.
  - Check LEIE periodically to determine status.
    - Employees, providers, vendors, medical staff members, ordering providers, others?
- Condition contracts and medical staff membership on non-exclusion.
- Respond promptly if receive notice of excluded entity.
Advisory Opinions

• OIG may issue advisory opinions.
  – Not binding on anyone other than participants to the opinion.
  – But you are probably fairly safe if you act consistently with favorable advisory opinion.
Applying the Rules
Free or Discounted Items or Services to Patients

For example:

- Marketing that offers free or discounted items.
- Free items or services, especially when tied to other services that are payable by govt payers.
- “Insurance only” billing.
- Writing off bills.
- “Refer a friend” rewards programs.
- “Thank you” gifts.
- Drawings, etc.
Free or Discounted Items to Patients

DANGER

Civil Monetary Penalties Law
Anti-Kickback Statute
Idaho Anti-Kickback Statute
Stark
IRS
Private Insurance contracts
Freebies to Patients

May offer free or discounted items to **govt beneficiaries** if:

- Remuneration is not likely to influence the beneficiary to order or receive items or services payable by federal or state health care program. *(42 USC 1320a-7a(5))*

- Item or service is of low value, i.e.,
  - Each item or service is less than 15, and
  - Aggregate is less than $75 per patient per year. *(OIG Bulletin, *Offering Gifts and Inducements to Beneficiaries* (8/02); 66 FR 24410-11; OIG Policy Statement Regarding Gifts of Nominal Value (12/7/16))
Freebies to Patients

May offer free or discounted services to *govt beneficiaries* if:

- **Financial need**
  - Good faith determination that beneficiary has financial need or after reasonable collection efforts have failed;
  - Not offered as part of any advertisement or solicitation;
  - Not tied to provision of other federal program business; and
  - Reasonable connection between item or service and medical care of beneficiary.

(42 CFR 1320a-7a(i); 42 CFR 1003.101; see also OIG Bulletin, *Hospital Discounts Offered to Patients Who Cannot Afford to Pay Their Hospital Bills*)
Freebies to Patients

• May offer free or discounted items to govt beneficiaries if:
  – Incentives to promote delivery of preventative care.
  – Payments meeting AKS safe harbor.
  – Any other remuneration that promotes access to care and poses a low risk of harm to patients and federal health care programs.
  – Retailer coupons, rebates or rewards offered to public.
  – Certain other situations.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(i); 42 CFR 1003.101)
Free Tests or Screening

• OIG has approved free screening services or tests (e.g., free blood pressure check by hospital) where:
  — Not conditioned on the use of any items or services from any particular provider.
  — Patient not directed to any particular provider.
  — Patient not offered any special discounts or follow-up services.
  — If test shows abnormal results, visitor is advised to see his or her own health care professional.


• Advisory Opinions are not binding, but provide guidance.
Free Transportation

- AKS safe harbor: local transportation
  - Set forth in policy applied uniformly
  - Not determined based on volume or value of referrals
  - Not air, luxury, or ambulance-level transport
  - Not publicly marketed or advertised
  - Drivers not paid per beneficiary
  - Only for established patients within 25 miles or, in rural area, 50 miles
  - Costs not shifted to payers or individuals

(42 CFR 1001.952(bb))
Free Transportation

• AKS safe harbor: shuttle service that operates on set schedule
  – Not air, luxury, or ambulance-level transport
  – Not publicly marketed or advertised
  – Drivers not paid per beneficiary
  – Only within provider’s local area, i.e., within 25 miles or, in rural area, 50 miles
  – Costs not shifted to payers or individuals

(42 CFR 1001.952(bb))
May waive or discount **govt** copays or deductibles if:

- Not offered as part of any advertisement or solicitation;
- Do not routinely waive copays or deductibles; and
- Waive or discount after
  - *good faith determination that the beneficiary is in financial need, or*
  - *unable to collect after reasonable collection efforts.*

(42 USC 1320a-7a(i)(6); 42 CFR 1003.101; *see also* Adv. Op. 12-16)

- Document factors such as local cost of living; patient’s income, assets and expenses; patient’s family size; scope and extent of bills.
Waiving Copays or Deductibles

May waive or discount **govt** copays if satisfy AKS safe harbor.

- Hospital inpatient stay paid under PPS.
  - Waived amounts cannot be claimed as bad debt or shifted to any other payers.
  - Offered without regard to the reason for admission, length of stay, or DRG.
  - Waiver may not be made as part of any agreement with third party payer with limited exceptions.

- FQHC or other health care facility under any Public Health Services Grant.

(42 CFR 1001.952(k))
Writing Off Bills

• Writing off entire debt safer than waiving copays.
  – No one gets billed.

• The key: document legitimate purpose, i.e., not intent to generate referrals!
  – Resolution of legitimate dispute or settlement of claim.
  – Unsuccessful attempts to collect.
  – Financial need.
  – Other
Writing Off Bills

• Under CMPL, may waive or discount beneficiary’s bills if:
  – Good faith determination that beneficiary has financial need or after reasonable collection efforts have failed;
  – Not offered as part of any advertisement or solicitation;
  – Not tied to provision of other federal program business; and
  – Reasonable connection between item or service and medical care of beneficiary.

(42 CFR 1320a-7a(i); 42 CFR 1003.101; see also OIG Bulletin, Hospital Discounts Offered to Patients Who Cannot Afford to Pay Their Hospital Bills)
Writing Off Bills

- OIG suggests that hospitals (and presumably other providers) should:
  - Have a reasonable set of financial guidelines based on objective criteria that documents real financial need.
  - Recheck patient’s eligibility at reasonable intervals to ensure they still have financial need.
  - Document determination of financial need.

(OIG Bulletin, *Hospital Discounts Offered to Patients Who Cannot Afford to Pay Their Hospital Bills*)
Prompt Pay Discounts

- OIG has approved prompt pay discounts for *govt* beneficiaries if:
  - Amount of discount relates to avoided collection costs.
  - Offered to all patients for all services without regard to patient’s reason for admission, length of stay, or DRG.
  - Not advertised so as to solicit business.
  - Notified private payers of program.
  - Costs not passed to Medicare, Medicaid or other payers.

(56 FR 35952; Adv. Op. 08-3)
Prompt Pay Discounts

• **Private payer issues**
  
  — Idaho AKS prohibits regular practice of waiving deductibles.
  
  — Generally cannot discount copays and deductibles without violating managed care contracts unless payer agrees.
  
  — May adversely affect “usual and customary charges” and payer’s reimbursement under contract.
  
  — Payers may claim the benefit of the discount if the insurer pays within the relevant time.

• **Check your payer contract or contact your private payers.**
Self-Pay Discounts

• Providers may generally charge different patients or payers different amounts.
  – Negotiated rates for payers.
  – Negotiated rates or discounts for self-pay patients.

• Limitations:
  – Illegal discrimination (e.g., race, sex, religion, etc.).
  – Perhaps hospitals that submit cost reports.
  – In some states, payer contracts may contain “most favored nation” clauses requiring providers to give their best rates.
  – Self-pay or other discounts may affect “usual and customary” charges.
Medicare “Substantially in Excess” Rule

• Provider may not charge Medicare “substantially in excess” of the provider’s usual charges.

(42 USC 1320a-7(b)(6); 42 CFR 1001.701(a)(1)).

— Test: whether the provider charges more than half of its non-Medicare/Medicaid patients a rate that is lower than the rate it charges Medicare.

— OIG has stated that it would not use the rule to exclude or attempt to exclude any provider or supplier that provides discounts or free services to uninsured or underinsured patients.

Paying Patient’s Premiums

• If paying Medicare Part B, C or D premiums:
  – OIG approved plan’s payment of Part B premiums for ESRD patients where:
    • Patients are already receiving the services, so unlikely to induce services that might not otherwise be received.
    • No inappropriate patient steering to particular providers.
    • Patients are not coerced into enrolling in Part B.
    • Certain protections built in to protect Medicare program from additional costs.
  – OIG cautioned that it might reach different result in other circumstances.

Paying Patient’s Premiums

• If paying premiums for health insurance exchange:
  – “HHS has significant concerns with this practice because it could skew the insurance risk pool and create an unlevel playing field in the Marketplaces. HHS discourages this practice and encourages issuers to reject such third party payments. HHS intends to monitor this practice and to take appropriate action, if necessary.” (HHS Letter dated 11/4/13).
  – Letter does not apply to:
    • Indian tribes and govt grant programs.
    • Payments made by private non-profit foundation based on defined criteria based on financial status that does not consider health status and payment covers entire year. (HHS Letter dated 2/7/14; 79 FR 15240)
Paying Patient’s Premiums

• If paying private insurance premiums (e.g., COBRA or other coverage):
  – Probably does not implicate AKS or CMPL unless it is tied to or induces referrals for services payable by govt programs.
  – May implicate Idaho AKS, but not tested.
  – COBRA regulations contemplate that COBRA premiums may be paid by third party.
  – Check payer contracts.

• *But stay tuned—this is a developing area of the law.*
Contracts with Referring Providers
Contracts with Referring Providers

- Employment
- Independent contractor or other services agreement
- Group compensation arrangement
- Lease for space or equipment
- Recruitment agreement
- Management or support services

Stark Anti-Kickback Statute
Contracts with Referring Providers

• Employees, especially physicians or their family members.
  – Identifiable services
  – Fair market value
  – Not based on the volume or value of referrals
    • Not based on referrals for ancillary services or services performed by others
    • May pay based on services the provider personally performs
  – Commercially reasonable

(42 CFR 411.357(d); 42 CFR 1001.952(i))
Contracts with Referring Providers

- Independent contractors or other services agreements.
  - Written agreement signed by parties.
  - Specifies services provided.
  - Terms remain in effect for at least one year.
  - Compensation:
    - Set in advance
    - Consistent with fair market value
    - Not based on volume or value of referrals.
      - May pay based on personally performed services.
      - May not pay based on referrals for ancillary services or services by others.
  - Commercially reasonable.

(42 CFR 411.357(c), (l); 42 CFR 1001.952(d))
Contracts with Referring Providers

• Group Practice compensation for physicians.
  — Distribution of overhead expenses and income based on formula set prospectively.
  — Compensation not based on volume or value of referrals for designated health services.
    • May pay based on personal productivity and “incident to” services, but not ancillary services or services performed by other employees that are not “incident to”.
    • May pay based on share of overall profits so long as subgroups have at least 5 physicians.
    • May pay based on other methods that do not reflect volume or value of designated health services.

(42 CFR 411.352(e), (g), (i))
Contracts with Referring Providers

• Leases for space and equipment
  – Written lease signed by the parties.
  – Specifies the premises covered.
  – Term is for at least one year; may not modify within 1 year term.
  – Space leased does not exceed that which is needed and is commercially reasonable.
  – Tenant has exclusive use of space or equipment.
  – Rent is set in advance, consistent with FMV, and not based on:
    • % of business generated in space or by equipment, or
    • Per–unit of service if includes services referred by landlord.

(42 CFR 411.357(a)-(b); 42 CFR 1001.952(b))
Ownership Interests

• Physician generally may not refer designated health services to an entity in which physician has ownership interest.

• Exceptions:
  – Group practice if satisfies definition of “group practice”.
  – Ownership interest in a hospital if certain conditions met.
  – Ownership of a rural provider, i.e., furnishes not less than 75% of DHS to residents of a rural area.

(42 CFR 411.355 and .356)
Gifts or Perks to Providers or Other Referral Sources
Gifts or Perks to Providers or Other Referral Sources

E.g., soliciting, giving or receiving:

- Gifts, e.g., “thank you” or appreciation gifts.
- Free items or services, e.g., meals, CME, travel, space, equipment, perks, insurance, etc.
- Discounted items or services, i.e., less than fair market value, professional courtesies, etc.
- Payments for services not performed.
- Payments for unnecessary services.
- Overpayments for items or services.
- Practice or expense subsidies.
- Business opportunities without investment.
- Failure to recoup money owed.
Free or Discounted Items to Referring Providers

DANGER

Anti-Kickback Statute
Idaho Anti-Kickback Statute
Stark
IRS
Gifts or Perks to Providers

• Lower risk if entity receiving gift does not refer items or services payable by federal healthcare programs.
  – Stark, AKS and CMPL generally apply to referrals for items or services payable by govt programs.

• But no guarantee...
  – OIG has cautioned that carving out federal programs from specific transaction may not protect the parties if there are other referrals for federal programs between parties.
  – Still violates Idaho AKS and fee splitting statute.
Professional Courtesy

• Stark safe harbor applies if:
  – Practice has formal medical staff.
  – Written policy approved in advance.
  – Offered to all physicians in service area regardless of referrals.
  – Not offered to govt beneficiaries unless showing of financial need.
  – Does not violate AKS.

  (42 CFR 411.357(s); 72 FR 51064)

• But beware AKS, Idaho AKS, and private payer contracts.
Professional Courtesy

• Especially beware waiving copays, deductibles or engaging in “insurance only” billing.
  – See prior discussion.

• Offering free items or services to employees may implicate tax or employee benefit laws.
  – Benefits to employees are usually taxable.
  – May be structured to fit within employee benefit plan, but may be subject to ERISA or similar laws.
Gainsharing or Cost Saving Programs

- Hospital or CAH cannot knowingly make a payment, directly or indirectly, to a physician as an inducement to reduce or limit medically necessary services provided to Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries who are under the direct care of the physician.
  - Includes “gainsharing” programs.
- Physician cannot knowingly accept such a payment.
- Penalties:
  - $2000 for each individual with respect to whom payment made.
  - Any other penalty allowed by law.

(42 USC 1320a-7a(b)(1); 42 CFR 1003.102)
Gainsharing Programs

• OIG has periodically approved gainsharing in advisory opinions if certain safeguards included, e.g.,
  – Proposed plan does not adversely affect patient care.
  – Quality evaluated by third party.
  – Low risk that incentive will lead physicians to provide medically inappropriate care.
  – Payments limited in duration and amount.
  – Payments not tied to referrals or other suspect actions.
   (See, e.g., Adv. Op. 12-22)

• OIG advisory opinions do not apply to Stark.
  – CMS proposed Stark exception, but was not finalized.

• CMS/OIG have issued interim rule waiving CMPL and Stark for ACOs.
Repay Overpayments

(18 USC 1347; 42 CFR 401.301 et seq.)
Repaying Overpayments

• If provider has received an “overpayment”, provider must:
  – Return the overpayment to federal agency, state, intermediary, or carrier, and
  – Notify the entity of the reason for the overpayment.

• Must report and repay within the later of:
  – 60 days after overpayment is identified.
  – Date corresponding cost report is due.

(42 USC 1320a-7k(d); 42 CFR 401.305)

• New regulations issued 2/12/16.
Overpayments: Penalty

• “Knowing” failure to report and repay by deadline =
  – False Claims Act violation
    • $5,500 to $11,000 per violation
    • 3x damages
    • *Qui tam* lawsuit
      (31 USC 3729)
  – Civil Monetary Penalty Law violation
    • $10,000 penalty
    • 3x damages
    • Exclusion from Medicare or Medicaid
      (42 USC 1320a-7a(a)(10))
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Manhattan U.S. Attorney Announces $2.95 Million Settlement With Hospital Group For Improperly Delaying Repayment Of Medicaid Funds

Continuum Admits That It Did Not Fully Reimburse Medicaid For Erroneously Billed Claims For Over Two Years

Preet Bharara, the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Scott J. Lampert, Special Agent in Charge of the New York Field Office of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Overpayments

• “Overpayment” = funds a person receives or retains to which the person, after applicable reconciliation, is not entitled, e.g.,
  – Payments for non-covered services
  – Payments in excess of the allowable amount
  – Errors and non-reimbursable expenses in cost reports
  – Duplicate payments
  – Receipt of Medicare payment when another payor is primary
  – Payments received in violation of Stark, Anti-Kickback Statute, Exclusion Statute.

• 6 year lookback period. (42 CFR 401.305(f))
Repaying Overpayments

Condition of payment from govt program
• Requires repayment, e.g.,
  – Billing or claim requirements
  – Anti-Kickback Statute
  – Stark
  – Civil Monetary Penalties re excluded individuals

Condition of participation in govt program other regulation
• Does not necessarily require repayment, e.g.,
  – Conditions of Participation
  – Conditions of Coverage
  – Licensure requirements
  – HIPAA
  – EMTALA
  – OSHA
Overpayments: Identified

- Identify overpayment = person has or should have, through exercise of reasonable diligence, determined that they received overpayment.
  - Actual knowledge
  - Reckless disregard or intentional ignorance
- Have duty to investigate if receive info re potential overpayment, e.g.,
  - Significant and unexplained increase in Medicare revenue
  - Review of bills shows incorrect codes
  - Discover services rendered by unlicensed provider
  - Internal or external audit discloses overpayments
  - Discover AKS, Stark or CMPL violation
- “Reasonable diligence” =
  - Proactive monitoring
  - Reactive investigations

(81 FR 7659-61)
Overpayments: Deadline

- 60-day deadline begins to run when either:
  - Person completes reasonably diligent investigation which confirms:
    - Received overpayment, and
    - Quantified amount of overpayment.
  - If no investigation, the day the person received credible information that should have triggered reasonable investigation.

- “Reasonable diligence” = timely, good faith investigation
  - At most 6 months to conclude diligence
  - 2 months to report and repay

- Deadline suspended by:
  - OIG Self-Disclosure Protocol
  - CMS Stark Self-Referral Disclosure Protocol ("SRDP")
  - Person requests extended repayment schedule

(42 CFR 401.305(a); 81 FR 7661-63)
Overpayments: Reporting

May either:

• Use Medicare contractor process for reporting overpayments, e.g.,
  – claims adjustment
  – credit balance
  – self-reported refund

• Use OIG or CMS self-disclosure protocol that results in settlement.

(42 CFR 401.305(d))
https://med.noridianmedicare.com/web/jfb/topics/overpayment-recoupment
Overpayment: Reporting

- Repayment per Repayment Rule does not resolve violations or penalties under other laws, e.g.,
  - Anti-Kickback Statute, Civil Monetary Penalties Law, or False Claims Act, which are resolved by OIG or DOJ.
  - Stark, which is resolved by CMS.
- If Medicare contractor believes repayment involves violation of federal law, contractor may report repayment to the OIG, CMS, or other federal agency.
Overpayment: Reporting

• May want to consider other disclosure protocols.
  – OIG Self-Disclosure Protocol,
    https://oig.hhs.gov/compliance/self-disclosure-info/index.asp
  – Stark Self-Referral Disclosure Protocol,
Self-Referral Disclosure Protocol Settlements

The CMS Voluntary Self-Referral Disclosure Protocol (SRDP) enables providers of services and suppliers to self-disclose actual or potential violations of the physician self-referral statute. The following table displays settlements to date and will be updated on a yearly basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Number of Disclosures Settled</th>
<th>Range of Amounts of Settlements</th>
<th>Aggregate Amount of Settlements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$60 - $579,000</td>
<td>$709,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$1,600 - $584,700</td>
<td>$1,236,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$760 - $317,620</td>
<td>$2,468,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$3,322 - $463,473</td>
<td>$5,175,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$5,081 - $815,405</td>
<td>$6,706,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>$60 - $815,405</td>
<td>$16,295,234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

As of December 31, 2015, an additional 56 disclosures to the SRDP were withdrawn or settled by CMS’ law enforcement partners.

Because disclosures of actual or potential violations of the physician self-referral law include proprietary, confidential, or
## OIG SDP Settlements (2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conduct</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care center employed excluded individual.</td>
<td>$162,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital paid physicians in excess of FMV for services not performed</td>
<td>$79,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital paid submitted claims to Medicaid without preauthorization</td>
<td>$196,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital received services by home health agency to induce referrals</td>
<td>$1,923,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care company employed two excluded individuals</td>
<td>$359,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital submitted unsupported claims for home health services</td>
<td>$3,757,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital submitted claims for services that were not provided as claimed</td>
<td>$872,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician group upcoded claims</td>
<td>$259,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician group submitted claims for services that were not</td>
<td>$422,741</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Idaho Repayment Statute
Idaho Medicaid: Repayment

- **Provider must repay overpayments or claims previously found to have been obtained contrary to statute, rule regulation or provider agreement.**

- **Penalties**
  - Exclusion from state health programs, e.g., Medicaid
  - Civil penalty of up to $1000 per violation
  - Referral to Medicaid fraud unit  
  
  (IC 56-209h(6)(h))

- **Provider agreement requires providers to immediately repay overpayments.**
Idaho Medicaid: Repayment

- Medicaid ostensibly requires immediate repayment.
  - Notice requires response within 15 days.
  - May have up to 60 days interest free.
  - May enter repayment agreement, which is typically no longer than 12 months.
Self-Reporting

If you think you have a problem,
• Contact compliance officer
• Consider contacting knowledgeable attorney
• Self-report, if appropriate.
Better to comply in the first place!

An Ounce of Prevention is Worth a Pound of Cure
- Benjamin Franklin -
Compliance Plans
Why have a compliance plan?

- May facilitate compliance and avoid repayments, penalties.
- May help avoid fraud charges.
- May mitigate penalties.
- May improve performance.
  - facilitates prompt claims submissions
  - identifies undercoding as well as upcoding
  - reduces claim denials
  - improves medical record documentation
  - may identify and prevent patient care problems

Compliance plan = preventative medicine
Compliance Guidance

OIG has developed a series of voluntary compliance program guidance documents directed at various segments of the health care industry, such as hospitals, nursing homes, third-party billers, and durable medical equipment suppliers, to encourage the development and use of internal controls to monitor adherence to applicable statutes, regulations, and program requirements.

The compliance program guidance documents are listed below.

09-30-2008

11-28-2005
  - NSTC Launches Government-Wide Initiative Based on OIG Draft Guidance for HHS Research Grants (June 7, 2006)

01-31-2005

05-05-2003
OIG Compliance Program Guidance

• Not mandatory.
• Not a compliance plan itself.
• Provides a guide or outline for a compliance plan.
• Feds will give some deference if plan addresses the elements and standards in the OIG guidance.
  — 7 elements are based on Federal Sentencing Guidelines.
• Unlike other similar programs, OIG is very flexible and does not expect small practices to formally implement all 7 elements.
OIG Compliance Guidance: Elements

1. Internal monitoring and auditing.
2. Written standards, policies and procedures.
3. Compliance officer or contacts.
4. Education and training.
5. Investigation of alleged violations and appropriate disclosures to government agencies.
6. Open lines of communication, e.g., open discussions at staff meetings or bulletin board notices.
7. Enforcement of disciplinary standards.

Implementation depends on size and resources of group.
Action Items
Action Items

- Identify remuneration to referral sources (e.g., providers, facilities, vendors, govt program patients).
  - Contracts (employment, independent contractors, etc.).
  - Group compensation structures.
  - Leases (space, equipment, etc.).
  - Subsidies or loans.
  - Joint ventures or partnerships.
  - Free or discounted items or services (e.g., use of space, equipment, personnel or resources; professional courtesies; gifts; etc.).
  - Marketing programs.
  - Financial policies.
Action Items

- Review relationships for compliance with statute or exception, e.g.,
  - No intent to induce referrals for government program business.
  - Written contract that is current and signed by parties.
  - Compliance with terms of contract.
    - Parties providing required services.
    - Documentation confirming that services provided.
  - Fair market value.
  - Compensation not based on volume or value of referrals.
  - Arrangement is commercially reasonable and serves legitimate business purpose.
Action Items

• Implement method to track and monitor relationships with referral sources for compliance.
  – Central repository for contracts or deals.
  – Method to track contract termination dates.
  – Process for confirming compliance before payment.
  – Require review and approval by compliance officer, attorney or other qualified individual.
    • Contracts.
    • Joint transactions with referral sources.
    • Benefits or perks to referral sources.
    • Marketing or advertising.
Action Items

• Ensure your compliance policies address fraud and abuse laws.

• Train key personnel regarding compliance.
  – Administration.
  – Compliance officers and committees.
  – Human resources.
  – Physician relations and medical staff officers.
  – Marketing / public relations.
  – Governing board members.
  – Purchasing.
  – Accounts payable.

• Document training.
If you think you have a problem

- Don’t do this!
If you think you have a problem

• Suspend payments or claims until resolved.
• Investigate problem per compliance plan.
  – Consider involving attorney to maintain privilege.
• Implement appropriate corrective action.
  – But remember that prospective compliance may not be enough.
• If repayment is due:
  – Report and repayment per applicable law.
  – Self-disclosure program.
  • To OIG, if there was knowing violation of False Claims Act, Anti-Kickback Statute or Civil Monetary Penalties Law.
  • To CMS, if there was violation of Stark.
Additional Resources
The Healthcare Industry is poised to continue its rapid evolution. With this sector now making up close to 20 percent of GDP, our lawyers stand ready to help as changes unfold.

Issues such as rising healthcare costs, healthcare reform, data and privacy security, and innovations in healthcare delivery, device and pharmaceutical designs are forefront in the minds of many of our clients. We are here to guide our clients through the challenges and opportunities that arise in this dynamic industry.

Clients We Serve

- Hospitals
- Individual medical providers
- Medical groups
- Managed care organizations (MCOs)
- Third-party administrators (TPAs)
- Health information exchanges (HIEs)
- Practice managers and administrators
-  Imaging centers
- Ambulatory surgery centers
- Medical device and life science companies
Questions?

Kim C. Stanger
office 208-383-3913
cell 208-409-7907
kcstanger@hollandhart.com

Rob Low
office 208-383-3914
rblow@hollandhart.com